MINUTES OF THE MEETING

OF

THE NEVADA INTERAGENCY ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HOMELESSNESS SUBCOMMITTEE FOR TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

March 14, 2023

The Nevada Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness Subcommittee for Technical Assistance was called to order by Vice Chair Brooke Page at 1:02 p.m. on Tuesday March 14, 2023. This meeting is being conducted virtually. This meeting was noticed in accordance with Nevada Open Meeting Law and posted on <u>https://dwss.nv.gov/Home/Features/Public-Information/</u> the Division of Welfare and Supportive Services website.

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

Brooke Page, Corporation for Supportive Housing Director, Southwest, Nevada

Hettie Read, Management Analyst Housing and Neighborhood Development, City of Reno, Nevada

Chris Murphy, Grants Manager, Churchill Council on Alcohol and Other Drugs DBA: New Frontier, Nevada

Karen Van Hest, Director of Reimbursement and Compliance at Catholic Charities of Northern Nevada

Dr. Pamela Juniel, McKinney-Vento Coordinator, Nevada Department of Education, Nevada

Nolga Valadez, Benefit Services Outreach Manager, Three Square, Nevada

COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT:

Michele Fuller-Hallauer, Manager, Clark County Social Service, Nevada

OTHERS PRESENT:

Niani Cooper, Manager, Homeless to Housing, Nevada Department of Health and Human Services, Nevada

Sumiko Joiner, Homeless to Housing, Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Welfare and Supportive Services

Ryan Sunga, DAG, Nevada

Agenda Item I. Welcome, Call to Order and Roll Call

Niani Cooper:

Good afternoon and welcome to the Subcommittee Meeting for the Technical Assistance for the Governor's Interagency Council on Homelessness. This meeting has been publicly noticed and compliance with Nevada's open meeting law. Vice Chair Brooke Page will call the meeting to order.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Good afternoon everyone, it is officially 1:02 pm and I would like to call the March 14, 2023, meeting of the Nevada Interagency Council on Homelessness Subcommittee for Technical Assistance to order. I will ask our moderator Niani, will you please take roll?

Niani Cooper:

[Roll Call. We Do Have Quorum.]

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Awesome, thank you so much. Item two on the agenda is for public comment. So, no action may be taken upon a matter raised under this matter unless it's been specifically added to the agenda. Comments are limited to three minutes. We are now open for public comment. If you would please unmute yourself and state your name for the Council. Anybody here for public comment?

Agenda Item II. [No General Public Comments]

Agenda Item III. For Possible Action: Discussion and possible approval of minutes from January 10, 2023, and February 14, 2023, ICH Subcommittee for Technical Assistance meetings.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

No, okay we will close public comment period. Item number three is for possible action, and we are having a discussion and provide approval of minutes from the January 10, 2023, and the February 14, 2023, ICH Subcommittee for Technical Assistance Meeting. Would anybody like to entertain a motion to approve the minutes?

Chris Murphey: This is Chris Murphy, so moved.

Vice Chair Brooke Page: Thank you Chris. Would anybody like to second that motion?

Dr. Pamela M. Juniel: Pamela Janelle, for the record, I second.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Great, thank you, Dr. Janelle. Would anybody have any discussion on our minutes? Okay, all in favor, say aye.

Chris Murphey: Aye.

Dr. Pamela M. Juniel: Aye.

Agenda Item IV. For Possible Action: Vote on new members joining the ICH Technical Assistance Subcommittee from the January 26, 2023, open call that closed on February 3, 2023. The nine individuals who responded to the open call are Austin Pollard, Cristy Costa, Scott Benton, Blaine Clements, Lorena Lemus, Linda Schmitt, Jeffrey Church, Bill Ennis, and Donna DiCarlo.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Awesome thank you, any opposed? All right, so the minutes have been approved. Item four on our agenda for possible action. Today we are discussing a vote on new members joining the ICH Technical Subcommittee and from January 26, 2023, we did an open call that closed on February 3, 2023. The question that we have on the table is how many members are we adding? We had nine folks that took their time to apply, which we are grateful for folks putting their names into the ring. We had Austin Pollard, Cristy Costa, Scott Benton, Bill Ennis, Blaine Clements, Lorena Lemus, Linda Schmitt, Donna DiCarlo, and Jeffrey Church. Thank you to those candidates for applying. Would like to open it up for discussion to see if anybody has any thoughts on how many new members we should add?

Dr. Pamela M. Juniel:

I am kind of fairly new, I was just curious, has there ever been limits on member number and I am asking specifically from a capacity standpoint and then also, based on the initiative of the work that we need to get done. Knowing that the members that we currently have on this particular committee have not only their professional aspects but also specialization. So, I was just curious, what goes into the decision? Thank you.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Thank you for the question. So Niani, would you mind giving us some historical context on the number, maybe the max we have ever had on this committee and if there is a limit?

Niani Cooper:

Yes, Madam Chair. As far as me being in this position, I think the max number we have had is thirteen. There is not a stated max number in the Bylaws, and I would just add that you want to keep in mind and making sure that quorum at the meetings and that you do not have a number that could possibly come into an issue. So, the max number we have had is thirteen. It just depends on how this committee feels, what number is manageable to not only facilitate the work but also making sure every month it is progressing. Because remember this, we rule under open meeting laws, so there has to be quorum in order for the meeting to take place.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

That's a 51% majority?

Niani Cooper: Yes, greater than half.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Okay. So, if there was thirteen that we've had as a max in the past. Probably eight would require a quorum and that from my experience, eight has been a lot to try to get on a meeting. Anybody have any other thoughts about or discussion.?

Hettie Read:

How many do we officially have now?

Niani Cooper: Our current number is seven.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Our current number is seven and that requires us to have at least four members for a quorum.

Hettie Read:

I would feel like maybe ten might be a safe number. Then you would have to have six.

Dr. Pamela Juniel:

When you mentioned ten, is that ten total including bringing in some but not all the new members or ten, pulling in all of the new members? I am asking for clarification.

Hettie Read:

I would think we would have possibly the top three candidates. So, we would have a total of ten.

Dr. Pamela Juniel:

Thank you so much for that clarification.

Vice Chair Brooke Page: Any other discussion?

Chris Murphy:

I think up to this point, most of the work has been in developing the Strategic Plan or at least getting the nuts and bolts of that together. I think the hard work in developing the action plan to the Strategic Plan is ahead of us. I think that we may want to consider having possibly more people where we have a couple of people working on each goal. You know that type of thing I think we may want, I don't know that's my thoughts.

Dr. Pamela Juniel:

I do agree with Chris and thank you very much for that astute observation. I will say I am vacillating between adding maybe five to eight individuals. I don't know if we are going to go any further into discussing each of the individual candidates. Please, I would love some advice on that, but I would actually like to discuss our steps moving forward and discussing each of the applicants just so that we can see if there is actually a fit with the Strategic Plan and moving forward in the work that we're doing.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

We can definitely go into the discussion on the candidates. I think us determining a number will be helpful so we can sort of know what we are up against. I would entertain a motion if anybody wants to consider a motion or any other discussion.

Dr. Pamela Juniel:

I would love for someone else to chime in with a motion, please.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Right now, we have seven and if we add these additional nine, we would have sixteen members which would be more than we have ever had on this committee. Quorum has definitely been a challenge. I think being realistic about capacity and where we desire to go, there is a lot of work, like Chris mentioned, that needs to happen. I'm on the fence so I would love if anybody has any thoughts about a happy medium.

Dr. Pamela Juniel:

I'm just going to kind of throw it out there. I would recommend, and I would love to hear feedback just because I just noticed that my voice is real loud during this meeting. I would love to look at us settling in on between six to seven members, seven members max. Bringing in new individuals so that not only we would have quorum and capacity. I am going to hold back on that because I am

really excited about getting ready to discuss the applicants. From what we have been able to read in about them and what they would be able to contribute to help us refine that decision.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

I hear you say \mathbf{D} r. Juniel, is to bring our current number from seven to fourteen and bring in seven new members to the existing seven?

Dr. Pamela Juniel:

That is correct. Thank you very much for asking for a clarification. Thank you. I have a tendency to not be too clear, so I apologize.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

No, that is good, thank you. Okay, any thoughts on that?

Hettie Read:

The reason I suggested a lower number was with the issue of meeting quorum. I think we have had a few meetings where we have not met quorum. That was why I was leaning towards the lower end, but maybe there's some compromise there between having twelve total, something more like that. I don't want to not meet quorum because we are really not getting any work done, that was just my thought.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Great point.

Dr. Pamela Juniel:

Thank you for that input, I really appreciate that.

Chris Murphy:

I would just like to put out maybe we should consider six that way we have a quorum of thirteen, so we don't end up in a deadlock.

Dr. Pamela Juniel:

Chris, I think that's a great suggestion because is it thirteen like a baker's dozen? Yeah, lucky thirteen good looking out numbers man. I appreciate that, Chris.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Any other thoughts about Chris's recommendation? All right, do we need to have a motion for that and approve that Niani?

Ryan Sunga:

This is Ryan from the Atterney General's office. Agenda item number four was written only as vote on new members joining the ICH Technical Assistance Subcommittee. So, when you start talking about how many should we have, that is an item that should have preceded this item. Because we did not agendize how many are bringing on and how to vote on that. I don't think this item number $6 \mid P \mid g \mid e$

four, the way that it is written gave the public adequate notice of the Subcommittee taking a vote on actually how big it is going to end up being. I hate to rain on the parade, but it is written very narrowly, vote on new members.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Thank you so much for that clarification. I would recommend that we just move to discuss the candidates, Dr. Juniel and Committee. You all should have received the scoring total for each member, and we have a good range of scores. I think the question is do we want to go through them individually or do we want to vote on a slate of candidates at a certain range? What are your thought about voting? I think Dr. Juniel you had mentioned wanting to talk about expertise related to what we need for the Strategic Plan.

Dr. Pamela Juniel:

Yes, thank you. I did not know if we had a specific structure and how we were going to discuss the candidates/applicants. Thank you very much for allowing at least the space to be able to discuss that and just see once again where the fit would be. Also thanks again to Ryan for clarifying the steps that are needed to move through this process, learn something new today.

Ryan Sunga:

You're welcome.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Yeah. Thank you. I think that there was some conversation about, kind of an odd number possibly, but we have nine candidates that have put their hat in the ring. We have scored those candidates already in the interim between our meetings s we do have a ranking currently. So, is there any discussion on the current ranking and thoughts about us going through possibly and just voting on our ranking or do we want to take a different approach? Anybody have any questions on their ranking?

Ryan Sunga:

Sorry, this is Ryan again. Where does this ranking exist? Is it a document that went out to the public with the agenda?

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

I think it was a document that was provided to all the Committee members to rank the candidates and to score the candidates. So that process could then come to this meeting and vote on who was actually ranked in terms of criteria.

Ryan Sunga:

Okay, so every member has their own ranking, is what you are saying correct?

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Yes, then it was added together so we have one cumulative total per candidate. 7 | P a g e

Ryan Sunga:

Okay, and when was that document made public, I guess that is what I'm asking?

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

I do believe, let me see, Niani, did we make that public as well or was it just the agenda?

Niani Cooper:

It was just the agenda.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Okay.

Ryan Sunga:

That is something that needs to be public as well. Just an associated document with this particular meeting.

Vice Chair Brooke Page: Okay.

Ryan Sunga:

I guess what I am saying is I am sort of foreclosing the idea of talking about the rankings as they are in a document because the document was not made public. That is the whole purpose of the open meeting laws, to make sure that the public can see and hear everything that we hear, can see, and hear. That does not foreclose you discussing the potential new members, the qualifications of the potential new members, that kind of thing.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Okay, I think that is probably what we should do if we go through each candidate and maybe talk about their credentialing. Do we want to discuss each candidate and vote per candidate if we should vote them in yay or nay based on our discussion? Would that suffice for open meeting law?

Ryan Sunga:

Yeah, that is exactly how the agenda item is written, right?

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

All right, let's start with Austin Pollard, that is listed first on the agenda. Would love if we could start there if anybody has any thoughts about Austin Pollard?

Dr. Pamela Juniel:

I was going to ask is there any way that we could pull their materials up so that we can also look at those to help guide the discussion? Is that a possibility?

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

So, when you say materials, are you meaning their letter?

Dr. Pamela Juniel:

Yeah, their application and letter of interest. Is that a possibility?

Ryan Sunga:

Is that a question for me?

Dr. Pamela Juniel:

Well, question of anyone who is like knowledgeable, or how do we proceed through a discussion? It has been like a few number of weeks since we have looked at what they presented and the way that the rankings are, it is just their name and a score.

Ryan Sunga:

Are you talking about the same documents that I was discussing with Madam Chair a minute ago? Everybody's individual rankings of these folks?

Dr. Pamela Juniel:

Well, that the individual rankings exist in an excel spreadsheet. However, the application materials that we received that helped us generate the rankings. I was wondering if we could look at those documents to help guide the discussion? That was a letter of interest and supplemental materials. Thank you for asking for clarification.

Ryan Sunga:

Yeah, same question though. Was that made available, were those documents made available to the public?

Dr. Pamela Juniel:

That is a great question, I did not structure the collection or distribution of materials, so I cannot answer that question.

Niani Cooper:

No, they were not Ryan. We did not post their letters of interest to the public site.

Ryan Sunga:

Okay yes, that makes me nervous then.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

To share it publicly, okay,

Niani Cooper:

Madam Chair, I think you state the name and take the vote or you guys can choose to push the vote to another meeting if you want letters and all of that posted to the public site.

Ryan Sunga:

The committee today, the Subcommittee, has talked about a number of really good strategies for $9 \mid P \mid g \mid e$

dealing with this issue. From the rankings and questions of how many are going to take in all that kind of stuff. Those are great ideas. The only problem is that agenda item number four does not encompass those, and those would be sort of far afield from what it actually says. So if you think that those processes as you laid them out here would do you some good in terms of deliberating about this, it would just have to be agendized in the future. The way that it is written, it is so limited as to what you can do here today. I can help craft a new agenda item for you as well that would encompass a lot of these things that you are wanting to do. I mean, it basically just says we are going to vote on these new members, that's all it says.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Well, I will put a question to the Committee. Do you all feel comfortable to vote on the members that are listed and made publicly available to names on the agenda and us going through them one by one and voting on each one? Do you all feel comfortable with that, or would you all prefer to postpone this topic for a future meeting?

Nolga Valadez:

I think we should postpone, just so we can have a better idea of how many new Subcommittee members we are going to take on and all the things that have been laid out so that we have a better ground to keep moving forward, thank you.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Thank you Nolga, any other thoughts about that?

Chris Murphy:

I think that by postponing it and opening up the agenda item a little bit to where we can talk openly about the different candidates. In comparing my score to what the cumulative score of everybody else is, there's some candidates that were real similar to my scorings, there is some that didn't fare as well. So, I would like to hear everybody else's ideas of what they were reading in the materials that we reviewed.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Thank you Chris. I would like to entertain a motion for us to sort of push this agenda item for a future meeting.

Ryan Sunga:

Madam Chair, you could do that without taking any action. You could just say, I am tabling this thing until the next meeting.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Thank you Ryan. Okay, I would like to table agenda item number four to the next ICH Technical Assistance meeting. With us having the ability to further discuss the candidates in more detail. So, however that agenda item needs to read that gives us that autonomy. I would caution putting out

folk's letters of recommendation and resumes out publicly. I know some of them have personal addresses on them. I don't know if that has to be made public to have a discussion, I don't know if there's any concerns about that.

Ryan Sunga:

We can figure that out, and if we have to, I don't know if we need to black some stuff out, we can do that.

Agenda Item V. For Information Only: Discussion regarding the subcommittee's next steps towards formulating to action plan for the Nevada Interagency Council on Homelessness to Housing Strategic Plan.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Okay, great. So then let's move on we will go to agenda item number five. That is our discussion regarding next steps towards formulating the action plan for the Nevada ICHH Strategic Plan. Niani, maybe you can give us some context as to where we left off, because I know we had a meeting. Are we currently in a space where we are waiting for folks to inform a document, I'm not sure if that was discussed in our last meeting or not? Do you have any updates on kind of where we are with that process?

Niani Cooper:

Madam Chair, last meeting in February, there was not a quorum, so the January 10, meeting was I think the last time that there was a meeting, and the discussion was about bringing on the new members and then moving forward. There was not a real decision made on anything concerning the Action Plan at this point.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

We definitely want to get an action plan into action. I feel like I recall us talking about breaking up the Strategic Plan into having champions to champion the various different components of the Strategic Plan, having folks be able to kind of own that. I know that part of us bringing on new membership was to increase that champion goal, right, to have more folks invested in helping us do that work. So do we have any thoughts about kind of the Action Plan and where we go from here or anybody else have any recollection on where we left off?

Chris Murphy:

Brooke, my memory is exactly the same as what she just did. I know that we had some of the goals we signed champions for, there is some that we did not. But our main thing was let's get some more help with the new members and that is where we went to recruiting new members.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Thank you Chris. I thought that we also wanted to come up with a document that was going to help

us capture feedback from the various different Continuum of Cares (CoC's) on where they are and their process of informing the Action Plan. Is that something Niani, that we have in the works?

Niani Cooper:

There was a question that we did send up with our agency, Division of Welfare and Supportive Services (DWSS). If we could put a TEAMS document together so people could come in and work on it. People could see what was being done and I was told no because everyone is at different agencies. If everyone was under the same agency, that is something we could do, but we could not hold a document with outside coming in and working off of the TEAMS platform.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Okay, thank you for that update. So, we know that we can't necessarily have a living breathing document that DWSS manages. Does anybody have any ideas or thoughts about how we should move forward with capturing what is currently happening in the state around the Strategic Plan, so we are not duplicating effort? I'm curious, Niani, if somebody else as our committee members, if they decided to host something like that would that be allowable or is it something that you all have to manage that process?

Niani Cooper:

I think I would defer to Ryan on that.

Ryan Sunga:

First of all, what is the CoC, explain that to me first so I understand what we are talking about?

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Thank you. So, the Continuum of Care is a regional group of community members, people with lived experience that are working to address homelessness in three different regions throughout the State of Nevada. So, we wanted each one of those bodies to be able to inform the State Strategic Plan about what they are already doing. We were hoping to develop a document that could be a living document that each one of those CoC's can go into and update so we could sort of identify what is the work currently happening in the State and then what is the gaps that we need to sort of be focusing and championing as this body.

Ryan Sunga:

If I am understanding what you are saying, that does not even really have much to do with the Subcommittee. That would just be the CoC's themselves, separate from the Subcommittee working on something. Am I interpreting that correct?

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

So, we want to create this Action Plan. I think that's the work of this Subcommittee, but we don't want to be working on an Action Plan if there are activities that those CoC's are already doing that work in the community. We want to be able to leverage that work in this Action Plan.

Ryan Sunga:

So, describe this document to me then.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

So, it would be essentially the outline of the Strategic Plan, the various different goals that are outlined and the Strategic Plan and the sub goals that are a part of it. It would allow for there to be space for each one of those CoCs to enter data as to what they are doing around that goal and sub goal.

Ryan Sunga:

Okay, is there a whole lot of difference between that and them just getting their data and goals and sub goals to staff for the Subcommittee and staff compiling it onto a document?

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

No, I don't think there's any difference to that. I think it was just a way for us to have and see what's happening across the State, was the goal.

Ryan Sunga:

Okay, I think so long as you know the big thing that you are concerned about when you are talking about documents that are being shared around the places, you're always trying to spot the possibility of a walking quorum, which is the most common sort of open meeting law violation. So, if it is just the CoC's getting information to the Subcommittee and it is just going through staff, I really don't think there is a difference between how you laid it out and how I laid it out. It doesn't sort of implicate the walking quorum rule.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Okay, thank you. Could you just for everyone's record, the concern Ryan with the walking quorum is if we have three or more members working on something it's considered a walking quorum?

Ryan Sunga:

It depends on how many members your Subcommittee has, and if I am understanding the numbers right now, I think, Niani said there is seven. So, if you have four people working on a document together and it is not during an open meeting, then you have sort of created a walking quorum. So, Let's say you have these CoC's, and they get a bunch of information to you, and then you pass it on to another member, who passes it on to another member, who passes it on to another member, and all of a sudden, you have created a walking quorum outside of a meeting, of course.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Okay, thank you so much.

Ryan Sunga:

Welcome. That is why I was just saying, you know, be safe and have them all get it to staff and then, you guys could discuss it during a meeting and then you are always safe. 13 | Page

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Okay, thank you Ryan. I feel like you should be chairing the meeting it is really good feedback. So, what I think we should do moving forward maybe it would be helpful to get this document developed that outlines all our Strategic Plan goals and the sub goals that we have outlined and circulating that across the CoC's. Any thoughts about that?

Dr. Pamela Juniel:

I am all for what is going to keep us in line with our public meeting rules and guidelines. So, as long as we are able to chart a path. Like I said, this is new information for me, so I am learning. As soon as we were able to chart a way and a means of getting business done within the parameters of what is allowable in public meeting, I would greatly appreciate it.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Thank you. Any other thoughts about that strategy to get us moving on at least where we are as a State and in the Strategic Plan?

Chris Murphy:

I would be happy to take the document to the Rural Nevada Continuum of Care. I know that we are looking at redoing our Strategic Plan and at least getting with Mary Jane, she is our Chair. Updating it as best as I can to where I can provide that back to Niani, and to where it can be incorporated into a document for the rest of everybody else to see.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Thank you so much Chris, for that suggestion. I like what you're saying because it's helpful to have somebody at least communicating to the various CoC's. Almost like a liaison to this body of what this document is for. Maybe we need their information and the best way to get it populated. So, Chris your willingness to do that for the Rural CoC, I think is a great i dea. Any thoughts about that strategy?

Hettie Read:

I think that is a great strategy and I'm happy to do that for the Northern CoC.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Thank you, Hettie. Considering one of our committee members, Michele Fuller-Hallauer and Chairwoman, is not with us today, but she represents the Southern Continuum of Care. So maybe we will table that and ask her request to move that forward to the Southern CoC when she returns. I think in the interim, Niani, would you as staff help us get that document populated with all the various different goals and space for us to get that information from the CoC's?

Niani Cooper:

Yes.

Agenda Item VI. For Information Only: Discussion of Agenda Items for next meeting April 11, 2023.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Great, okay any other discussion on this item number five? I think we have next steps, if anybody has any other thoughts? Let us move to item number six. This is for information only discussion of what other agenda items do we need to be talking about for the April 11, 2023, meeting? I definitely hope we have a good agenda item to discuss our candidates. The slate of folks that have put their name in the hat to be voted in for this Committee as an agenda item that is publicly posted with whatever information we need to have a robust conversation.

Ryan Sunga: This is Ryan, sorry again. That should probably be, if I am understanding what took place here today, it should probably be two agenda items. You should probably have the first one talking about, how much are we going to expand here? That way you can have a robust conversation about the reasons for and against expanding. Then the second agenda item would be something along the lines of discussing the qualifications of and the vote for those spots that you just created in the previous agenda.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Should the slate that we voted, and the scoring be made publicly available?

Ryan Sunga:

Yes, I mean if everybody is relying on it and sharing it. Yes, it has to be made public.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Okay, thank you Ryan.

Ryan Sunga: You're welcome.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Okay So, we will have those two agenda items. What other agenda items would you all like to discuss in the next meeting? I think the item for the Strategic Plan document related to, if you think it's realistic Niani, to have a draft document for us to review of the information that we want the CoC's to inform?

Niani Cooper:

Yes, we will have that available at the next meeting, which is April 11.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Okay, great.

Hettie Read:

I was just thinking about PIT (Point in Time) Count numbers, those will I believe be do mid-April. 15 | P a g e

So maybe not for the April meeting, but in the future if that warrants any discussion for this group. I know we are working on the Strategic Plan, but maybe an update to kind of remind us that what we are all working towards if we get an update on that maybe in the future?

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

So, Hettie, for clarification. If the Pit Count numbers are available, you would like that to be an update at the next meeting?

Hettie Read:

I think it is more realistic for May, I was just thinking ahead.

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Great idea, we will put that as a May agenda item. Pit Counts for each region?

Hettie Read:

Yeah, I do not know if we need a formal presentation from each, but just to review the numbers.

Agenda Item VII. [No General Public Comments]

Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Okay, thank you. Any other agenda items that anybody would like to see added? Okay, we will close that agenda item and now we will open up agenda item number seven, which is public comment. Which again, no action may be taken upon a matter raised under this matter unless it's been specifically added to the agenda. So, comments again are limited to three minutes. We are now open for our second public comment period. Please unmute yourself and state your name for the Council. Last call, no public comment? Okay, we will close agenda item number seven. Agenda item eight is our adjournment. So, thank you all so very much for your time today we had quorum. We got some information, thank you Ryan for your leadership and Niani for supporting us, but we will end this meeting at 1:47 PM.

Adjourned 1:47 p.m.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

tian offer

Niani Cooper, Committee Moderator

APPROVED BY:

øЬ

Brooke Page, Vice Chair Date: March 14, 2023